**ALEXANDRIA CSD** 

Status Date: 08/02/2016 04:37 PM - Approved

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. General Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

#### 1.1) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- ☑ Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

## 1.2) Submission Status

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 1 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. General Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law 3012-d

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 2 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

#### 2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

#### Please check the boxes below.

- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- ☑ For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

# 2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

#### STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 3 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

grade/subject listed	grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.			
	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math		
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math		
	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math		
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math		
	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math		
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math		
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math		
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math		
	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math		
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 4 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

#### 2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 5 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2		US History	
Regents Assessment	Global 2 Regents		US History Regents	

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry Regents	Physics Regents
	Regents	Regents		

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Algebra I Regents	Geometry Regents	Algebra II Regents

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 6 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

#### For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• The New York State ELA Regents assessment is required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts with a teacher-specific measure in the grade-level ELA course that ends in the ELA Regents assessment.

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not administered:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with: The New York State ELA Regents
  assessment; Any other State assessment(s); District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or Stateapproved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are: District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Please do not select "All Regents given in the building/district" in addition to individual Regents exams.

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
9 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	<ul> <li>☑ ELA Regents</li> <li>☑ Geometry Regents</li> <li>☑ Living Environment</li> <li>Regents</li> <li>☑ Earth Science Regents</li> <li>☑ Global 2 Regents</li> </ul>		
		☑ US History Regents		
10 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	<ul> <li>☑ ELA Regents</li> <li>☑ Geometry Regents</li> <li>☑ Living Environment</li> <li>Regents</li> <li>☑ Earth Science Regents</li> <li>☑ Global 2 Regents</li> <li>☑ US History Regents</li> </ul>		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific	☑ ELA Regents		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 7 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
	results			
12 ELA	School- or program-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide group, team or	☑ Geometry Regents		
1	linked results	☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
		☑ US History Regents		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 8 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

# For grades K-2 ELA/math, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with: State assessment(s);District-determined
  assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments;
   or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are: District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

# Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
K ELA	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☑ Geometry Regents		
		☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
		☑ US History Regents		
K Math	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☐ Geometry Regents		
		☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
		☑ US History Regents		
1 ELA	District or BOCEC	E ELA Deserte		
	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☑ Geometry Regents		
		☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 9 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
		☐ Global 2 Regents		
		<ul><li>US History Regents</li></ul>		
1 Math	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☐ Geometry Regents		
		☐ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		<ul><li>☑ Earth Science Regents</li></ul>		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
2 ELA		☑ US History Regents		
ZELA	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☑ Geometry Regents		
		☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☐ Global 2 Regents		
		US History Regents		
2 Math	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☐ Geometry Regents		
		Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☐ Global 2 Regents		
		US History Regents		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 10 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

# For grades 6-7 science and grades 6-8 social studies, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with: State assessment(s);District-determined
  assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments;
   or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are: District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

# Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES-	FLA Doganto		
		☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☑ Geometry Regents		
		☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
		☑ US History Regents		
7 Science	School- or program-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide group, team or	☑ Geometry Regents		
	linked results	☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
		☑ US History Regents		
6 Social Studies	D	_ =		
	District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide results	☑ Geometry Regents		
		☑ Living Environment		
		Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 11 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
		<ul><li>☑ Global 2 Regents</li><li>☑ US History Regents</li></ul>		
7 Social Studies	School- or program-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide group, team or linked results	☐ Geometry Regents ☐ Living Environment		
		Regents  Earth Science Regents		
		<ul><li>☑ Global 2 Regents</li><li>☑ US History Regents</li></ul>		
8 Social Studies	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	<ul><li>☑ ELA Regents</li><li>☑ Geometry Regents</li><li>☑ Living Environment</li></ul>		
		Regents  Earth Science Regents  Global 2 Regents  US History Regents		

#### 2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

# For Global Studies 1, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with: The New York State Global 2 Regents assessment; Any other State assessment(s); District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are: District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 12 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	School- or program-	☑ ELA Regents		
	wide group, team or	☑ Geometry Regents		
	linked results	Living Environment Regents		
		☑ Earth Science Regents		
		☑ Global 2 Regents		
		☑ US History Regents		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 13 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

#### For courses that end in a State or Regents assessment:

• The State or Regents assessment must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments.

For other grades/subjects, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with: State assessment(s);District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are: District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- · Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the exam	nles he	low to	list other	courses

TOTOW THE CAUTIPIES DE	iow io list office courses.				
,	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	К	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES- wide results	ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents
K-3 Art	К	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program- wide, group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district

#### To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul><li>☑ ELA Regents</li><li>☑ Geometry Regents</li><li>☑ Living Environment</li><li>Regents</li></ul>		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 14 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
				<ul><li>☑ Earth Science</li><li>Regents</li><li>☑ Global 2 Regents</li><li>☑ US History</li><li>Regents</li></ul>		

#### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%		13- 16%	9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%
/0																				

#### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

#### 2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 15 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

#### 2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 16 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category or guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

#### 2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses. If all other courses listed in Original Task 2.10 are using Alternate SLOs, and such Alternate SLOs will be based on the same measures and assessments, please select "Other Courses as listed in Original Task 2.10" in the Applicable Course(s) column.

Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party	Applicable
	Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Course(s)
District- or BOCES-	☑ ELA Regents			☑ 3 ELA
wide results	☑ Geometry Regents			☑ 3 Math
	☑ Living Environment			☑ 4 ELA
	Regents			☑ 4 Math
	☑ Earth Science			☑ 5 ELA
	Regents			☑ 5 Math
	☑ Global 2 Regents			☑ 6 ELA
	☑ US History Regents			☑ 6 Math
				☑ 7 ELA
				☑ 7 Math
				☑ 8 ELA
				☑ 8 Math

#### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effecti	ve		Develo	oping	Ineffe	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%	39- 43%	34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%	9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 17 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

# Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

# 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 18 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### **Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

- (A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or
- (B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

# 3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 19 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

# 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubrio	c Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
		please indicate the group(s) of
		teachers each rubric applies to.
N	YSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2014 Edition)	(No Response)

## 4.2) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in Task 4.1 above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

#### 4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

#### 4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

#### **Assurances**

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 20 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please also check each of the following boxes.

Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

	Overall Observation Cate	egory
	Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
ı	0	1.49 to 1.74

#### **HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

rating categories.			
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:		4.40	
	0.00	1.49	

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 21 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

#### **Required Subcomponents:**

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

#### **Optional Subcomponent:**

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

#### Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Principal/	Required - Independent	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grades and subjects for which
Administrator	Evaluator(s)		Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

#### 4.6) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

## 4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 22 of 51

<sup>\*</sup> If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

# Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

# Tenured Teachers

	Required -	Required -	Required -	Required -	Optional - Peer	Optional - Peer
	Principal/	Principal/	Independent	Independent	Observer(s):	Observer(s):
	Administrator:	Administrator:	Evaluator(s):	Evaluator(s):	Minimum	Observation
	Minimum	inimum Observation		Observation	observations	method
	observations	method	observations	method		
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

#### **Probationary Teachers**

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	Required -	Required -	Required -	Required -	Optional - Peer	Optional - Peer			
	Principal/	Principal/	Independent	Independent	Observer(s):	Observer(s):			
	Administrator:	Administrator:	Evaluator(s):	Evaluator(s):	Minimum	Observation			
	Minimum	Observation	Minimum	Observation	observations	method			
	observations	method	observations	method					
Unannounced									
	1	In person	1	In person	0	N/A			
Announced									
	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A			

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 23 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

#### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

# Student Performance Category HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below. HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below. Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating Minimum Maximum 18 20 35 to 3.75 40

	Overall Student Performance						
	Category Score and Rating						
	Minimum Maximum						
Н	18	20					
E	15	17					
D	13	14					
I	0	12					

	Overall Observation Category							
	Category Score and Rat	ing						
	Minimum Maximum							
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0						
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74						
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74						
I	0	1.49 to 1.74						

# 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation	Category		
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	E	D
Category	Effective (E)	Н	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	ı

<sup>\*</sup> If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

#### 5.3) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 24 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

#### 6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

#### Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

#### 6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES. appr\_62\_tip\_pp\_508520878-ACS Teacher Improvement Plan Form.docx

#### 6.3) Assurance: Appeals

#### Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 25 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 26 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

# Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

All teachers may submit an appeal on the following grounds:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-d
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-d

Tenured teachers may only appeal an ineffective or developing rating. Non-tenured teachers may only appeal an ineffective rating.

Timeframe for filing an appeal: All appeals must be submitted in writing no later than five (5) school days of the date when the teacher receives such a rating. The failure to file an appeal within this timeframe shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal, and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

#### Appeal process:

- 1. Appeal to Administrator:
- a. Teacher e-mails administrator within five (5) school days of the receipt of the rating or implementation of the TIP in question to schedule a meeting. The teacher will submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.
- b. Within five (5) school days of the receipt of the appeal, the administrator will contact the teacher to schedule a meeting to be held within five (5) school days following the date of contact with the teacher to discuss the rating(s) in question as outlined above.
- c. Following the meeting, the teacher may submit additional documents to the administrator within five (5) school days.
- d. The administrator notifies the teacher of the decision in writing within five (5) school days from the meeting or within five (5) school days from the submission of additional documents.
- 2. Appeal to Review Team: If the appeal is not resolved, within five (5) school days of the response from the administrator in Step One
- (1), the teacher may submit the documentation presented in Step One (1) to the superintendent or his/her designee. Within five (5) school days from the submission of documentation, the superintendent will then convene a review team consisting of an independent evaluator and two ACFA-designated teachers (excluding the evaluating administrator and the evaluated teacher). The role of the review team will be to evaluate facts and evidence submitted by the teacher and the evaluating administrator. The teacher and administrator will be given the opportunity to be interviewed by the review team, prior to the review team's discussion. All discussion will remain confidential. The review team will notify the teacher, the administrator and the superintendent of the review team's decision in writing within two school days of the review team meeting.
- 3. Appeal to Superintendent: If the appeal is not resolved to the satisfaction of the appellant, within five school days of the response from the review team in Step Two (2), the teacher may resubmit the documentation presented in Step Two (2) to the superintendent or his/her designee. The Superintendent or his/her designee will then convene a one-day hearing within five (5) school days from the documentation resubmission. The superintendent will render a final decision and will notify the teacher and administrator in writing within five (5) school days of the hearing.
- 4. The teacher may submit a rebuttal to the superintendent's decision within five (5) school days of the decision. The rebuttal will be placed with the performance evaluation in the teacher's personnel file. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher's appeal.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 27 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

#### Please check the box below.

☑ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

# 6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District shall ensure that all evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators and independent observers who are primarily responsible for a teacher's evaluation, will be properly trained and certified in accordance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Evaluator training will include all of the elements in the Commissioner's regulations. The District shall comply with recertification requirements as prescribed by the Commissioner. All evaluators will be certified and recertified by the BOE upon successful completion of the training. The District shall ensure that all evaluators retain inter-rater reliability over time by conducting annual calibration. Both initial and recertification training will be a minimum of three hours.

#### 6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 28 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

#### 6.8) Assurances: Assessments

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

#### 6.9) Assurances: Data

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 29 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 30 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

#### 7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments for which there is a State growth model (e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, please use Task 7.2.

For the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments.

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal. Principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments should continue to set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments in the 2019-20 school year and thereafter.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 31 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, K-6, 6- 8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for back-up SLOs.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

Tollow the examples select.	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	К		NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7		Grade 7 ELA, Grade 7 Math, Grade 8 ELA, Grade 8 Math, All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
К	6	☐ Grade 4 ELA
N.	0	
		☑ Grade 5 ELA
		☑ Grade 6 ELA
		☑ Grade 4 Math
		☑ Grade 5 Math
		☑ Grade 6 Math
7	12	☑ Grade 7 ELA
		☑ Grade 8 ELA
		☑ Grade 7 Math
		☑ Grade 8 Math
		☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-
		provided growth score

# 7.1) Assurances

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 32 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, for principals of buildings that do not include all of grades 9-12, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal and that principals of buildings that include all of grades 9-12 will have back-up SLOs set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in all school years.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 33 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	!	Devel	oping	Ineffec	tive											
20 19 18	17 10	6 15	14		12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	85- 89% 84	0- 4% 75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%		9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%

#### 7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

#### 7.5) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

#### 7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

# Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 34 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 35 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance and guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

# 7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 3: measure used
- · Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

I UIOW THE EXAMINES DEIOW.	I Ollow the examples below.								
,	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)					
Grades K-2 Building	К	2	results	ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents, Living Environment Regents, Global 2 Regents, US History Regents					
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	ELA Regents, US History Regents					

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	6	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul><li>☑ ELA Regents</li><li>☑ Geometry</li><li>Regents</li><li>☑ Living</li></ul>		

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 36 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
			Environment		
			Regents		
			☑ Earth Science		
			Regents		
			☑ Global 2		
			Regents		
			☑ US History		
			Regents		
7	12	Principal-specific results	☑ ELA Regents		
			☑ Geometry		
			Regents		
			☑ Living		
			Environment		
			Regents		
			☑ Earth Science		
			Regents		
			☑ Global 2		
			Regents		
			☑ US History		
			Regents		

# 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	ctive											
20	19		17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%	39- 43%	34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%	9- 12%		0-4%
%																				

# 7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 37 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

- (A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or
- (B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

# 8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 38 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

# 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

#### 9.2) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types as indicated in Task 9.1 above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

# 9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

## 9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

#### **Assurances**

#### Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 39 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please also check each of the following boxes.

☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department,

the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands								
	Overall School Visit Cate	egory						
	Score and Rating							
	Minimum	Maximum						
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0						
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74						
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74						
ı	0	1.49 to 1.74						

#### **HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
3.50	4.00
Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
2.50	3.49
Minimum Bubria Saara	Maximum Rubric Score
Willimum Rubiic Score	Maximum Rubnic Score
1.50	2.49
Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
0.00	1.49
	3.50  Minimum Rubric Score  2.50  Minimum Rubric Score  1.50  Minimum Rubric Score

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 40 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

#### **Required Subcomponents:**

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

#### **Optional Subcomponent:**

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

\* If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

#### Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Supervisor/	Required - Independent	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grade configurations for which
Administrator	Evaluator(s)		Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

#### 9.6) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

# 9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 41 of 51

# Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

# Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### **Tenured Principals**

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator:	Required - Independent	Optional - Peer Observer(s):
	Minimum school visits	Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

# **Probationary Principals**

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator:	Required - Independent	Optional - Peer Observer(s):
	Minimum school visits	Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits
Unannounced			
	1	1	0
Announced			
	1	0	0

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 42 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

#### 10.1) Scoring Ranges

# Student Performance Category HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below. HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating				
	Minimum Maximum				
Н	18	20			
E	15	17			
D	13	14			
ı	0	12			

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rat	ing
	Minimum	Maximum
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
ı	0	1.49 to 1.74

## 10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit	Principal School Visit Category				
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)		
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	Н	  H	E	D		
Category	Effective (E)	н	E	E	D		
	Developing (D)	E	E	D			
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	ı		

<sup>\*</sup> If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

# 10.3) Assurances

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 43 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

#### 11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

#### Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

#### 11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES. appr\_11\_2\_pp\_508538114-ACS Principal Improvement Plan Form.docx

app.\_...pp\_soccoot...noo...mp.ovo...on....

#### 11.3) Assurance: Appeals

#### Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 44 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### 11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 45 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

# Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

All administrators may submit an appeal on the following grounds:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-d
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-d

Tenured administrators may only appeal an ineffective or developing rating. Non-tenured administrators may only appeal an ineffective rating.

Timeframe for filing an appeal: All appeals must be submitted in writing no later than five (5) school days of the date when the administrator receives such a rating. The failure to file an appeal within this timeframe shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal, and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

Appeal process:

- 1. Appeal to Superintendent:
- a. Principal e-mails Superintendent within five (5) business days of the receipt of the rating or implementation of the PIP in question to schedule a meeting, to be held within (5) business days. Prior to the meeting, the principal will submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.
- b. The Superintendent will schedule a meeting within five (5) business days of the receipt of the appeal to discuss the rating(s) in question as outlined above.
- c. Following the meeting, the principal may submit additional documents to the Superintendent within five (5) business days after the meeting.
- d. The Superintendent notifies the principal of the decision in writing within five (5) days of the meeting or of the submission of additional documents.
- 2. Appeal to BOCES Review Team: If the appeal is not resolved to the satisfaction of the appellant, within five (5) business days of the response from the Superintendent in Step One (1), the principal may submit the documentation presented in Step One (1) to the BOCES Review Team within five (5) business days

BOCES Review Team Process: The review team (panel) shall consist of:

- 1. A Superintendent from one of the Jefferson-Lewis-Hamilton-Herkimer-Oneida BOCES (the "BOCES") component School Districts, selected by the Superintendent of Schools;
- 2. An administrator from either the BOCES or one of the BOCES component School Districts, selected by the appealing principal;
- 3. A third individual, also an administrator or superintendent of either the BOCES or one of the BOCES component School Districts, selected by the first two Panel members.
- A. The Superintendent of Schools and the appealing principal shall each designate their respective Panel member selections within five
- (5) business days of the Superintendent of Schools' receipt of the appeal. The Superintendent of Schools shall give notice of his/her designation in writing to the appealing principal, and the appealing principal shall give notice of his/her designation in writing to the Superintendent of Schools. Each designation shall include the name, title, and employer of the selected individual. The designation shall include written verification that the selected individual has agreed to act as a Panel member. The written notification and verification

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 46 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

required by this paragraph may be accomplished by email.

- B. Within five (5) business days of designation as Panel members, the two selected individuals shall designate the third Panel member and notify the Superintendent of Schools and the principal in writing of the name, title, and employer of the third Panel member. The designation shall include written verification that the selected individual has agreed to act as a Panel member. The written notification and verification required by this paragraph may be accomplished by email.
- C. The Panel shall coordinate with the Superintendent of Schools to ensure that each Panel member receives a copy of the appeal and a copy of the District's response to the appeal.
- D. Within five (5) business days of designation of the third Panel member, the entire Panel shall meet to review the appeal and the District's response to the appeal. The Panel will not receive or take testimony and shall review the merits of the appeal solely based on the written record. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that the Panel determines that the appeal should be dismissed, no meeting shall be necessary and the Panel may render its decision without having held a meeting to review the written evidence. In the event this occurs, the filing and notification required under paragraph F, below, shall occur on or before the date on which the Panel was to meet to review the appeal.
- E. The Panel shall file a written decision on the appeal within fifteen (15) business days of the meeting referenced in paragraph D, above. The decision shall be filed with the Superintendent of Schools and a copy provided to the appealing principal. The decision shall be based on the written record, comprised of the principal's appeal papers and supporting information. This decision shall be final and binding. If the principal is unavailable to personally receive the decision at the time it is filed with the Superintendent of Schools, delivery of a copy of the decision to the principal may be accomplished by either (i) placing the decision in a sealed envelope marked "confidential" at the location designated for the principal to receive mail at the District; (2) e-mail of a copy of the decision to the principal at the principal's District e-mail address.
- F. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principal's appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the Panel may (i) set aside a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect; (ii) modify a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect; or (iii) order a new evaluation if procedures have been violated.
- G. The original decision, original appeal (and supporting information), and original appeal response (and supporting information), shall be placed in the principal's personnel file.
- H. The time frames specified in this Article may be extended by mutual consent of all parties, but will remain timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d. Every effort will be made to adhere to the timelines set forth in the appeals process and to be consistent with the timely and expeditious requirements of Education Law 3012-d. The consent must be in writing. For purposes of this paragraph, the written consent may be accomplished by email.
- I. The principal may submit a rebuttal to the Superintendent's decision within five (5) business days. The rebuttal will be placed with the performance evaluation in the principal's personnel file.

#### 11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

#### Please check the box below.

☑ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 47 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

# 11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District shall ensure that all evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators and independent observers who are primarily responsible for a teacher's evaluation, will be properly trained and certified in accordance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Evaluator training will include all of the elements in the Commissioner's regulations. The District shall comply with recertification requirements as prescribed by the Commissioner. All evaluators will be certified and recertified by the BOE upon successful completion of the training. The District shall ensure that all evaluators retain inter-rater reliability over time by conducting annual calibration. Both initial and recertification training will be a minimum of three hours.

#### 11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 48 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

#### 11.8) Assurances: Assessments

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

#### 11.9) Assurances Data

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 49 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

#### Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 50 of 51

#### Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2016

#### Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "District Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

20160802093459374.pdf

01/20/2023 11:42 AM Page 51 of 51